

April 8, 2004

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 03-60657
Summary Calendar

FENNY TJANDRA TJIN; IRSAN; PATRICIA ANGELIA; KYAN STEPHEN
ANGELO; IAN PATRICK ANGELO,

Petitioners,

versus

JOHN ASHCROFT, U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL,

Respondent.

Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

BIA No. A79 505 774

BIA No. A79 505 773

BIA No. A79 505 772

BIA No. A79 505 771

BIA No. A79 505 770

Before JONES, BENAVIDES, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The petitioners seek review of the decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals ("BIA") summarily affirming the decision of the immigration judge ("IJ") to deny their applications for asylum. They have not challenged the denial of withholding of removal or the finding that they are not entitled to asylum on the basis of a well-founded fear of future persecution. These

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

claims are therefore abandoned. See Calderon-Ontiveros v. I.N.S., 809 F.2d 1050, 1052 (5th Cir. 1986).

The petitioners argue that the BIA erred in determining that they were not persecuted based upon their religion and ethnicity. The petitioners argue that they established past persecution based on Fenny Tjandra Tjin's fear following the rape of Chinese women during riots in Jakarta in May 1998, her feeling of isolation during church bombings and burnings, and her loss of a night's sleep following a threatening phone call to her home. After reviewing the record and the briefs, we conclude that the decision, that the petitioners' allegations did not rise to the level of persecution, is supported by substantial evidence and that the evidence in the record does not compel a conclusion contrary to that reached by the IJ and BIA. Ontunez-Tursios v. Ashcroft, 303 F.3d 341, 350 (5th Cir. 2002); Abdel-Masieh v. I.N.S., 73 F.3d 579, 583-84 (5th Cir. 1996); Gomez-Mejia v. I.N.S., 56 F.3d 700, 702 (5th Cir. 1995).

PETITION DENIED.