

**FILED**

October 24, 2006

Charles R. Fulbruge III  
Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 04-51317  
Conference Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ROBERTO V. BALTAZAR,

Defendant-Appellant.

-----  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
USDC No. 4:01-CR-238-2  
-----

Before JOLLY, DeMOSS, and STEWART, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Roberto V. Baltazar appeals from the judgment revoking his supervised release. This court must examine the basis of its jurisdiction on its own motion if necessary. Mosley v. Cozby, 813 F.2d 659, 660 (5th Cir. 1987). Article III, § 2, of the Constitution limits federal court jurisdiction to actual cases and controversies. See Spencer v. Kemna, 523 U.S. 1, 7 (1998). The case-or-controversy requirement demands that "some concrete and continuing injury other than the now-ended incarceration or

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

parole -- some 'collateral consequence' of the conviction -- must exist if the suit is to be maintained." Id.

Baltazar has served the sentence that was imposed upon the revocation of his supervised release. The order revoking Baltazar's term of supervised release imposed no further term of supervised release. Accordingly, there is no case or controversy for this court to address, and the appeal is dismissed as moot.

APPEAL DISMISSED.