

**March 20, 2007**

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

Charles R. Fulbruge III  
Clerk

---

No. 06-60353  
Summary Calendar

---

AMIN MOHAMED NAJARALI MAREDIYA,

Petitioner,

versus

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL,

Respondent.

-----  
Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals  
BIA No. A95 215 263  
-----

Before JONES, Chief Judge, and KING and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Amin Mohamed Najarali Marediya, a native and citizen of India, petitions this court to review the decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA) affirming the Immigration Judge's (IJ) decision denying his application for withholding of removal and relief under the Convention Against Torture (CAT). Marediya argues that he was entitled to withholding of removal and relief under the CAT because he has been persecuted by Hindus in India on account of his Islamic faith.

---

\*Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

The record supports the BIA's conclusion that Marediya failed to establish that it was more likely than not that he would be persecuted due to his religious affiliation if he returned to India. See Mikhael v INS, 115 F.3d 299, 303-04 (5th Cir. 1997). The BIA's decision affirming the denial of withholding of removal and relief under the CAT is supported by substantial evidence, and the record does not compel a contrary conclusion. See Roy v. Ashcroft, 389 F.3d 132, 138-39 (5th Cir. 2004).

The petition for review is DENIED.