

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

September 19, 2008

Charles R. Fulbruge III  
Clerk

---

No. 07-40980  
Summary Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

ALFREDO LOPEZ-GUEVARA

Defendant-Appellant

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. 1:07-CR-381-1

---

Before GARZA, DeMOSS, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Alfredo Lopez-Guevara (Lopez) appeals the 70-month sentence imposed following his guilty plea conviction for possession of more than five kilograms of cocaine. Lopez argues that the district court's sentence was unreasonable in light of the Supreme Court's recent decisions in *Gall v. United States*, 128 S. Ct. 586 (2007), and *Kimbrough v. United States*, 128 S. Ct. 558 (2007), because this court's prior precedent impermissibly restricted the district court's discretion at

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

sentencing. He contends the district court labored under a misconception that it could not sentence Lopez below the Guidelines in the absence of "extraordinary circumstances" or based on the court's disagreement with guidelines policy. Nothing in the record suggests that the district court was constrained by this court's precedent from considering all of Lopez's arguments for a nonguideline sentence. Accordingly, there was no reversible plain error. See *United States v. Campos-Maldonado*, 31 F.3d 337, 2008 WL 2357364, at \*2 (5th Cir. June 11, 2008)(No. 07-20715).

Lopez also contends that his sentence is procedurally and substantively unreasonable. He argues that the district court failed to consider the factors of 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and failed to provide adequate reasons for the sentence imposed. Lopez further contends that the district court ignored the mitigating evidence he presented. Because Lopez did not object to his sentence in the district court, review is limited to plain error. See *United States v. Peltier*, 505 F.3d 389, 392 (5th Cir. 2007), cert. denied, 128 S. Ct. 2959 (2008).

The district court provided reasons for Lopez's sentence, noting that the amount of cocaine contributed to the seriousness of the offense. The court also commented on Lopez's use of cocaine and the connection between narcotics and other crimes. The district court committed no significant procedural error. See *Gall*, 128 St. Ct. at 597.

Because the district court imposed a sentence within a properly calculated guidelines range, the sentence is entitled to a presumption of reasonableness. See *United States v. Alonzo*, 435 F.3d 551, 553-54 (5th Cir. 2006); see also *Rita v. United States*, 127 S. Ct. 2456, 2463 (2007). The court considered mitigating evidence presented by Lopez and rejected his argument for a sentence below the guidelines range. The court explained its reasons for rejecting Lopez's argument and imposing a sentence within the guidelines range. Lopez has failed to demonstrate that his sentence is unreasonable. See *Gall*, 128 S. Ct. at 597.

Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.

