

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

---

No. 14-40998  
Summary Calendar

---

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

January 6, 2016

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

TERESA WARD COOPER; JAY S. COOPER,

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; CHARLES H. BROWN, III;  
APPROXIMATELY FIFTEEN UNKNOWN AGENTS OF THE U.S.  
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY; ONE UNKNOWN AGENT  
OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE; TWO UNKNOWN AGENTS  
OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,

Defendants - Appellees

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of Texas  
USDC No. 4:13-CV-487

---

Before REAVLEY, SMITH, and HAYNES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The judgment of the district court is affirmed for the following reasons.

Plaintiffs have complained of property taken from their home in Plano in 2010. Thereafter they have filed several lawsuits for related complaint. A

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 14-40998

judgment was rendered in one case on October 14, 2011, dismissing without prejudice and ordering monetary sanctions against Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs failed to appeal and have never complied with the sanctions order.

Subsequently, the present suit has been dismissed without prejudice because Plaintiffs simply disregarded the court's order. The court acted under the law and its discretion in doing so. *See United Markets Int'l v. Smith*, 24 F.3d 650, 654 (5th Cir. 1994). If Plaintiffs could not afford costs of suit without hardship, they might be financially eligible to proceed IFP. In this case, however, no effort has been made to obey the previous court order, and the district court has certified that the appeal is not taken in good faith.

**AFFIRMED.**