

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 92-1720

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

QUANG HUU NGUYEN,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Northern District of Texas  
(3:92 CR 010 H)

---

( June 22, 1993 )

Before JOHNSON, JOLLY, and JONES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

In this appeal, Nguyen argues that the district court erred in determining that he was a "prohibited person" under U.S.S.G. § 2K2.1; that it erred in determining that he knew, intended, or had reason to believe that the weapons would be used in connection with another felony; and that it abused its discretion in refusing to adjust the offense level downward because he allegedly played a minimal or minor role in the criminal activity and because he

---

\*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

accepted responsibility for his conduct. After consideration of these claims, after review of the briefs, and after hearing oral argument we are satisfied that the district court acted within its discretion in making each of these sentencing determinations and committed no reversible error. Thus, its sentence of appellant Quang Nguyen is

A F F I R M E D.