

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 92-1788  
Summary Calendar

---

HERMUNTH SHIIMI,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

VERSUS

DALLAS INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT,

Defendant-Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
(3:92 CV 0851 X)

---

(December 3, 1992)

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, SMITH, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The complaint was dismissed because of the plaintiff's failure to serve the defendant within ninety days as required by the local rules. We find no error in the court's doing so, as the plaintiff was responsible for seeing to it that service was effected. We also note that the dismissal was without prejudice and that the plaintiff, though pro se, is no stranger to the federal courts and

---

\* Local Rule 47.5.1 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

thus has had some experience in obtaining service. See Shiimi v. Harlandale Indep. School Dist., 1992 U.S. LEXIS 7365 (Nov. 30, 1992) (denying rehearing).

The appeal is frivolous and, accordingly, it is DISMISSED pursuant to Fifth Cir. Loc. R. 42.2