IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

)))))))))))))))))))))))))
No. 92-2228
)))))))))))))))))))))))))

WILMA BECKY CASH,
Plaintiff-Appellant,
Cross-Appellee,

versus

JEFFERSON ASSOCIATES, ET AL.,
Defendants,

LIMAS JEFFERSON,
Defendant-Appellee,
Cross-Appellant.

))))))))))))))))))))))))
No. 92-2777
))))))))))))))))))))))))

WILMA BECKY CASH,
Plaintiff-Appellant,
Cross-Appellee,

versus

LIMAS JEFFERSON
Defendant-Appellee,
Cross-Appellant.

))
Appeal from the United States District Court for the
Southern District of Texas
(CA H 90 460)
))
(November 30, 1994)

Before REYNALDO G. GARZA, SMITH and PARKER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM*:

IT IS ORDERED that the petition for rehearing filed in the above case is GRANTED.

This Court lacks jurisdiction to review this case on appeal

---

* Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession."
Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

because the magistrate judge lacked authority to enter a final judgment in this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).[1] Therefore, we find that there exists no appealable order in this case.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the decision in the above case is VACATED, and the appeal is DISMISSED.

---

[1] Under *Archie v. Christian*, 808 F.2d 1132 (5th Cir. 1987), the problem could have been, and still can be, cured by the district court's entry of a judgment adopting the magistrate judge's order.