

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
for the Fifth Circuit

No. 92-3243

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

VERSUS

ANTONIO CHRISTIAN SAMUELS,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Louisiana
(CR-90-369-A)

(February 16, 1993)

Before DUHÉ and BARKSDALE, Circuit Judges and TRIMBLE¹, District Judge.

PER CURIAM:²

Appellant, convicted of drug and witness tampering offenses, appeals contending that the district court erred by denying Appellant's motions to suppress, for mistrial and for new trial, and by improperly instructing the jury on the witness tampering charge. Appellant also contends that there is insufficient evidence to support the verdict on the witness tampering offense.

¹ District Judge of the Western District of Louisiana, sitting by designation.

² Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

We have carefully reviewed the record and briefs, and have heard the argument of counsel, and do not find that the district court committed any error. In each instance, the district court applied the correct legal standard and considered the proper facts. Accordingly, we AFFIRM.