

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 93-1662

FLOYD D. ARRINGTON,

Plaintiff-Appellant,
Cross-Appellee,

versus

COUNTY OF DALLAS, ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees,
Cross-Appellants.

TIMOTHY HAMMOND,

Plaintiff-Appellant,
Cross-Appellee,

versus

COUNTY OF DALLAS, ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees,
Cross-Appellants.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
(3:89-CV-2888-R c.w 2889-R)

(June 9, 1995)

Before HIGGINBOTHAM and PARKER, Circuit Judges, and BROWN*,
District Judge.

*District Judge of the Eastern District of Texas, sitting by
designation.

PER CURIAM:**

With the benefit of oral argument, we are persuaded that the district court did not err in its judgment. The central issues in this case have been tried to a jury, and defendants have prevailed. There is no merit to plaintiffs' effort to maintain their other claims.

AFFIRMED.

**Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.