

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 93-3350

---

PATRICIA J. OLINDE,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY  
INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant-Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Middle District of Louisiana  
(CA-91-657-B-M2)

---

(December 2, 1994)

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, SMITH, and PARKER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Olinde argues that a taped telephone conversation with "Joe" was inadmissible because it was unauthenticated and was hearsay. We need not reach this issue because the district court issued two opinions in the alternative, entering judgment for State Farm even on the assumption that the tape recording was inadmissible. Olinde's other arguments challenge the sufficiency of the evidence, the credibility of the witnesses, and the impartiality of the

---

\*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

judge. We find these arguments to be without merit and therefore  
AFFIRM.