

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 93-3568
Conference Calendar

JERRY CHAPMAN,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

TONY SEWELL ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

- - - - -
Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Louisiana
USDC No. CA-91-302-A-M2
- - - - -
(May 18, 1994)

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, BARKSDALE, and EMILIO M. GARZA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Jerry Chapman has not shown that the district court erred in granting summary judgment to the defendants. Chapman has not demonstrated a violation of his rights under the Due Process Clause because Louisiana inmates do not have a liberty interest in receiving a decision within 120 days of a prison disciplinary appeal. Carter v. Lynn, No. 92-3495, (5th Cir. June 11, 1993) (unpublished; copy attached). The district court did not err in dismissing Chapman's state-law claims that the defendants had failed to perform ministerial duties. See Rhyne v. Henderson

* Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

County, 973 F.2d 386, 395 (5th Cir. 1992); 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(3).

The Court declines to consider the other issues which Chapman has listed, without explanation or argument, in his appellate brief. Yohey v. Collins, 985 F.2d 222, 224-25 (5th Cir. 1993); Fed. R. App. P. 28(a).

Chapman has filed a "Motion for Relief" seeking monetary damages and injunctive relief. The motion is DENIED.

AFFIRMED.