

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 93-8597

Summary Calendar

WENDELL MORRIS ROBERSON,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

JAMES A. COLLINS, Director TDC
ET AL.,

Respondents-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Texas
(SA 91 CV 127)

(June 20, 1995)

Before GARWOOD, HIGGINBOTHAM, and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The district court denied Texas prisoner Wendell Morris Roberson's petition for a writ of habeas corpus. The district court granted a certificate of probable cause, and Roberson filed this appeal.

In his appellate brief, Roberson lists nine separate points of error, many with multiple subparts. Roberson, however, fails to

*Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

brief his points, including his point that the state failed to provide him with his state court record. Arguments must be briefed to be preserved. Price v. Digital Equip. Corp., 846 F.2d 1026, 1028 (5th Cir. 1988).

The only argument that Roberson makes is contained in his reply brief. In the absence of manifest injustice, this court need not consider arguments made for the first time in a reply brief. See Kansa Reinsurance Co., Ltd. v. Congressional Mortgage Corp., 20 F.3d 1362, 1370 n.8 (5th Cir. 1994).

Roberson's requests to reconsider this court's decisions denying his motion for sanctions, his petition for mandamus, and his motion to incorporate his habeas pleadings are DENIED. The decision of the district court denying his petition for writ of habeas corpus is AFFIRMED.