

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-10955
Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

STEPHEN J. LARREW,

Defendant-Appellant.

- - - - -
Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 3:94-CR-64-1
- - - - -

August 22, 1995

Before KING, JOLLY, and WIENER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Stephen J. Larrew raises a host of assertions in his pro se appellate brief to support his claim that the district court lacked jurisdiction over his prosecution. These arguments, presented in a manner which demonstrates Larrew's utter contempt for the federal judiciary, are legal gibberish and frivolous.

Larrew also challenges the composition of the grand jury that returned the indictment, complains that the district court

* Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

failed to respond to his "uncontested and unchallenged" affidavits, and contends that the court "falsified and perjured" the record. Larrew presents no cogent legal argument in support of these contentions.

The appeal is without arguable merit and thus frivolous. Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983). Larrew is hereby warned that frivolous appeals invite sanctions from this court, which may be monetary, restrictions on filing pleadings, or both.

APPEAL DISMISSED. See 5th Cir. Rule 42.2.