

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

S)))))))))Q  
No. 94-20600  
Summary Calendar  
S)))))))))Q

ALBERT EDWARD BENTLEY and  
HILARY BENTLEY,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

versus

ARAMCO SERVICES COMPANY  
d/b/a Aramco Taxi Service,  
ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellees.

S)))))))))Q  
Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Southern District of Texas  
(CA H 91 2995)  
S)))))))))Q  
August 9, 1995

Before GARWOOD, EMILIO M. GARZA and PARKER, Circuit Judges.\*

PER CURIAM:

Plaintiffs appeal the district court's *forum non conveniens* dismissal of their suit. The district court's memorandum and order reflects its careful and thorough consideration of this matter. The court correctly identified, articulated, and considered all the

---

\* Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

relevant factors and properly applied the correct analytical framework. Moreover, it carefully imposed several conditions favorable to plaintiffs in the judgment of dismissal. No error of law is shown. Nothing approaching an abuse of discretionSOmuch less "a clear abuse of discretion," *Baumgart v. Fairchild Aircraft Corp.*, 981 F.2d 824, 835 (5th. Cir.), *cert. denied*, 113 S.Ct. 2963 (1993)SOhas been shown. Accordingly, the judgment is

AFFIRMED.