

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 95-50803  
USDC No. SA-95-CA-973

---

ISIDOR MARTINEZ RAMIREZ,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

PETER SAKAI, Judge,

Defendant-Appellee.

- - - - -  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
- - - - -

December 18, 1995

Before WIENER, PARKER and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Isidro Martinez Ramirez argues that the district court erred by denying his motion to proceed in forma pauperis and determining that his complaint lacked legal merit. Ramirez' claim, that a state judge failed to call him to court for a hearing concerning his parental rights, is barred by the doctrine of absolute judicial immunity. Graves v. Hampton, 1 F.3d 315, 317 (5th Cir. 1993). We thus conclude that the appeal is

---

\* Local Rule 47.5 provides: "The publication of opinions that have no precedential value and merely decide particular cases on the basis of well-settled principles of law imposes needless expense on the public and burdens on the legal profession." Pursuant to that Rule, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published.

frivolous. See Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983); 5th Cir. R. 42.2.

We caution Ramirez that any additional frivolous appeals filed by him will invite the imposition of sanctions. To avoid sanctions, Ramirez is further cautioned to review any pending appeals to ensure that they do not raise arguments that are frivolous because they have been previously decided by this court.

APPEAL DISMISSED.