

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 96-10167  
Summary Calendar

---

JOHN MCCOY,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

ANN RICHARDS; GEORGE W. BUSH; JOHN DOE;  
DAN MORALES, Attorney General; JAMES A. COLLINS;  
WAYNE SCOTT, Director; S.O. WOODS;  
RICHARD BELENGER, Warden; JAMES DUKE; CARL RAGSDALE,

Defendants-Appellees.

- - - - -  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 1:95-CV-179  
- - - - -

May 8, 1996

Before DAVIS, BARKSDALE, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

John McCoy seeks review of three orders of the district court. First, he appeals the denial of his motion for appointment of counsel. We have reviewed the record and McCoy's brief and AFFIRM the district court's denial of the motion essentially for the reasons stated by the district court. McCoy v. Richards, No. 1:95-CV-179-C (N.D. Tex., Jan. 19, 1996).

---

\* Pursuant to Local Rule 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in Local Rule 47.5.4.

Second, McCoy appeals the denial of his motion for preliminary injunction. McCoy has not shown irreparable injury warranting a preliminary injunction, and the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying his motion. See Black Fire Fighters Ass'n v. City of Dallas, 905 F.2d 63, 65 (5th Cir. 1990). The denial of the motion is AFFIRMED.

Third, McCoy seeks to appeal the denial of his motion to protect exhibits. The order denying this motion is not appealable. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1291 and 1292(a); Lakedreams v. Taylor, 932 F.2d 1103, 1107 (5th Cir. 1991). The appeal of the denial of this motion is DISMISSED.