



\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 98-50230  
Conference Calendar  
\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

NOE DE LUNA-BERRONES,

Defendant-Appellant.

- - - - -  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
USDC No. DR-97-CR-360-ALL  
- - - - -

Consolidated with

\* \* \* \* \*

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 98-50401  
Summary Calendar  
\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ALFREDO FUENTES-REA, also known as Rodolfo  
Hernandez-Andrade, also known as Lorenzo  
Leon-Corona, also known as Alfredo Seves-  
Fuentes, also known as Alfonso Reyes-Fuentes,  
also known as Raul Tolentino-Berrano,

Defendant-Appellant.

- - - - -  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
USDC No. DR-97-CR-396-ALL  
- - - - -

Consolidated with

---

No. 98-50437  
Conference Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

PEDRO ALVAREZ-OLALDE, also known as  
Alfredo Alfenddelin-Olinde,

Defendant-Appellant.

- - - - -  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
USDC No. DR-97-CR-309-ALL  
- - - - -

December 9, 1998

Before DAVIS, DeMOSS, and STEWART, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The appellants pleaded guilty to illegally reentering the country after having been deported. They argue that the district court erred when it increased their offense levels by 16 under U.S. Sentencing Guidelines § 2L1.2(b)(1)(A) for their prior state drug convictions. They contend that, because their state felony drug convictions would be only misdemeanors under federal law, their prior convictions were not "drug-trafficking crime[s]" under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(2) and did not constitute "aggravated felon[ies]" for purposes of § 2L1.2(b)(1)(A). Their argument is foreclosed by United States v. Hinojosa-Lopez, 130 F.3d 691, 694 (5th Cir. 1997). The appellants' convictions and sentences are

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 98-50223

-4-

AFFIRMED.