Judicial Council for the Fifth Circuit

FILED March 19, 2024 Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

Complaint Numbers: 05-24-90041 through 05-24-90045

MEMORANDUM

Complainant, a state prisoner, has filed a complaint against the four subject United States District Judges and the subject United States Magistrate Judge. The underlying cases are a prisoner class action lawsuit (in which complainant does not appear to be a party) and three cases filed by complainant, i.e., a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 proceeding, a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 proceeding, and a mandamus proceeding.

Without distinguishing between the cases, complainant alleges that:

- The subject judges "agreed to committ [sic] unlawful acts for unlawful purposes sponsored, promoted, and facilitated in commission of an attempted rape (resulting in serious bodily injury to me) and attempts and threats on my life by [prison officials]."
- The subject judges' "illegal activit[ies] [were] carried out in furtherance of a criminal enterprise controlled by political subdivision (Republican Party via [the State Governor]."
- District Judge B "ordered my funds to be unlawfully seized by [prison officials]."
- "I've been sent documents from [District Judges A and B and the magistrate judge] with specific intent to deceive me [sic] obstructing justice [sic] two acts of Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations Act."

- The subject judges' "in cahoots acts ... are of persons who a benefit (as money) was given, promised, and offered to influence their conduct," e.g., Judge A was "given, promised, or offered [a benefit] to influence his judgment &/or conduct not to have [the state prison director] arrested."
- "[They] are unable to discharge all duties office by reason of mental & or physical disibility [sic], in total honesty, fairness, and lawfulness of purpose absent of any intent to defraud, act maliciously, or take unfair advantage as [the subject judges] have/is [sic] done/doing towards me on account of my race, creed, national/geographic origin, etc."

To the extent that the complaint relates directly to the merits of decisions or procedural rulings, it is subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii). In other respects, any assertions of conspiracy, political motive, discrimination, bribery, and disability appear entirely derivative of the merits-related charges, but to the extent the allegations are separate, they are wholly unsupported, and are therefore subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) as "lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred" or that the subject judges are unable to discharge the duties of office due to mental and/or physical disability.

Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process, nor may they be used to obtain reversal of a decision or a new trial.

An order dismissing the complaint is entered simultaneously herewith.

Priscilla Richman

Chief United States Circuit Judge

Privilla Richman

March 14, 2024

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

Before the Judicial Council of the Fifth Circuit

FILED May 3, 2024 Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

Complaint Numbers: 05-24-90041 through 05-24-90045

Petition for Review by

Regarding Complaint of Misconduct and/or Disability Against

Under the Judicial Improvements Act of 2002, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

ORDER

An Appellate Review Panel of the Judicial Council for the Fifth Circuit has reviewed the above-captioned petition for review, and all the members of the Panel have voted to affirm the order of Chief Judge Priscilla Richman, filed March 19, 2024, dismissing the Complaint of

The Order is therefore AFFIRMED.

Date Jennifer W. Elro

United States Circuit Judge

under the Judicial Improvements Act of 2002.

For the Judicial Council of the Fifth Circuit