

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

---

No. 98-60620  
Summary Calendar

---

PATRICK J. HIGGINS, SR.,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

GEORGE CHANDLER, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Assistant Director of the Jackson County Restitution Center; BECKY FULTON, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Director of the Jackson County Restitution Center; CHARLES FAULK, Individually and in his Official Capacity as a Mississippi Department of Corrections Correctional Officer,

Defendants-Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Mississippi  
(1:97-CV-577-RR)

---

July 6, 1999

Before POLITZ, SMITH, and BARKSDALE, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Patrick J. Higgins, a former Mississippi state prisoner, appeals the district court's dismissal of his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint for failure to exhaust his prison administrative remedies. Higgins contends that, although he was incarcerated in a correctional facility at the time that he suffered the alleged constitutional violation, he was not a state prisoner because his conviction and sentence had been

---

\*Pursuant to 5<sup>TH</sup> CIR. R. 47.5, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5<sup>TH</sup> CIR. R. 47.5.4.

stayed pending an appeal in the case.

Our review of the record and briefs of the parties persuades that we should affirm. Higgins' complaint reflects that he was detained in a correctional facility as a result of a criminal charge at the time that the alleged constitutional violation occurred. Therefore, Higgins was required to pursue and exhaust his prison administrative remedies prior to filing a judicial complaint seeking relief under section 1983.<sup>1</sup>

Higgins contends for the first time on appeal that he was illegally extradited from Illinois by Mississippi officials and was held illegally in custody between June 1994 and August 1998. This claim involves facts that were not alleged in pleadings before the district court and that have no bearing on Higgins' section 1983 complaint, and the claim does not rise to the level of plain error.<sup>2</sup>

The judgment appealed is **AFFIRMED**.

---

<sup>1</sup> 28 U.S.C. § 1997e(a); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(h); **Underwood v. Wilson**, 151 F.3d 292 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1998).

<sup>2</sup> **United States v. Calverley**, 37 F.3d 160 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1994) (en banc); **Robertson v. Plano City of Texas**, 70 F.3d 21 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995).