

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 99-50094
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

STEVIE LEE PERALES,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Texas
USDC No. W-98-CR-85-(1)

June 9, 2000

Before POLITZ, WIENER, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Stevie Lee Perales appeals from his guilty-plea conviction and sentence for being a felon in possession of a firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). Perales argues that the district court clearly erred in finding that he was not entitled to an adjustment for acceptance of responsibility and erred in failing to admonish him at the guilty-plea hearing that his federal sentence could be ordered to be served consecutively to his

*Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

state sentence for a parole revocation.

Given that Perales did not admit possession of the firearms to the probation officer, he did not make any statement of remorse or contrition, and the deference to be afforded the district court's assessment of credibility, Perales has failed to show that the district court clearly erred in refusing to award him an adjustment for acceptance of responsibility.¹ The district court did not err in failing to admonish Perales at the guilty-plea hearing that the sentence could be ordered to be served consecutively to a state sentence.²

Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is **AFFIRMED**.

¹United States v. Nevarez-Arreola, 885 F.2d 243 (5th Cir. 1989).

²United States v. Saldana, 505 F.2d 628 (5th Cir. 1974).